

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,437 11/20/2003		Tomaso Vercellotti	2247-114	6624	
6449 7:	590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER		
	, FIGG, ERNST & M	PATEL, NIHIR B			
1425 K STREE SUITE 800	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3743		
				_	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/716,437	VERCELLOTTI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Nihir Patel	3743

Refere the Filing of an Anneal Rri									
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Nihir Patel	3743						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE	REPLY FILED August 17th, 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,									
•	educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	•							
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS									
3. 🔀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amondme	ant conceling the					
o. ∟ 	non-allowable claim(s).								
7. 🗀	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.					
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									

The amended claims 9, 12, 115 and 16 raises new issues (e.g. "characterized in that it provides a surgical treatment on bone tissue by means of a tip set in vibration at a frequency in the ultrasound range, wherein the vibration of the tip is modulated with low frequency pulses") that requires further consideration and/or search.

Heinzy Bennett
Supervisory/Patent Examiner
Group 3700